

PCTWORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION
International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : A61K 9/16, 9/50	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 95/22318 (43) International Publication Date: 24 August 1995 (24.08.95)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/CA95/00074 (22) International Filing Date: 14 February 1995 (14.02.95) (30) Priority Data: 08/197,756 17 February 1994 (17.02.94) US 08/197,754 17 February 1994 (17.02.94) US (71)(72) Applicant and Inventor: MODI, Pankaj [CA/CA]; Apartment 608, 1298 Main Street, Hamilton, Ontario L8S 1J4 (CA). (74) Agent: VERNON, Robert, E.; Guardian Intellectual Property Services, 2103 Constance Drive, Oakville, Ontario L6J 5V1 (CA).		(81) Designated States: AM, AU, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CN, CZ, EE, FI, GE, HU, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LT, LV, MD, MG, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, RO, RU, SD, SI, SK, TJ, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG), ARIPO patent (KE, MW, SD, SZ, UG). Published <i>With international search report.</i>
(54) Title: DRUGS, VACCINES AND HORMONES IN POLYLACTIDE COATED MICROSPHERES (57) Abstract A controlled release formulation for use with a variety of drugs, vaccines or hormones are formed in microspherical form. The drug, vaccine or hormone, e.g. bovine somatotropine, hepatitis vaccine, is suspended in a polymer matrix. The polymer matrix is formed from at least two water soluble biodegradable polymers, selected for example from starch, crosslinked starch, ficoll, polysucrose, polyvinyl alcohol, gelatine, hydroxymethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-ethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-methyl cellulose, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, cellulose acetate, sodium alginate, polymaleic anhydride esters, polyortho esters, polyethyleneimine, polyethylene glycol, methoxypolyethylene glycol, ethoxypolyethylene glycol, polyethylene oxide, poly(1,3 bis(p-carboxyphenoxy) propane-co-sebacic anhydride, N,N-diethylaminoacetate, block copolymers of polyoxyethylene and polyoxypropylene. The microspheres are coated with a (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer. The coating makes the microspheres more resistant to enzymatic degradation.		

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

Drugs, vaccines and hormones in polylactide coated microspheres

5 The present invention relates to an improved delivery system for the administration of drugs, vaccines and hormones for use with animals, including humans.

Background

10 It is known that many drugs and hormones, e.g. most peptidic and proteinic drugs are susceptible to degradation at the site of administration. In addition, some proteinic and peptidic drugs have very short in-vivo half lives. Consequently, multiple injections or multiple oral doses are required to achieve desirable therapy. It is desirable to increase the therapeutic efficacy of these drugs by using a controlled release delivery system. Attempts have been made to provide controlled release by means of tablets or capsules. However, none of these methods are entirely satisfactory.

20 Extensive efforts have been expended on the identification of appropriate antigens for immunization against numerous infectious diseases. However, the efficiency of such vaccines often is low because of rapid degradation of antigens and their very short in-vivo half lives. The need for effective vaccination procedures is particularly acute with respect to organisms which produce their pathophysiologic effects through acute infections localized to the gastrointestinal surfaces. However, large doses have been required to achieve adequate local concentrations in the peyer's patches of the gastrointestinal tract. There is a need therefore to provide a vaccine delivery system which results in enhanced immunity without the need for adjuvants and is effective following oral administration.

35 The present invention is intended to provide delivery system which is more cost efficient than previous methods and which alleviates the aforementioned performance difficulties. The invention is suitable for

- 2 -

injectable and oral vaccines, but oral administration is preferred. The drug, vaccine or hormone is sometimes referred to herein as the active ingredient. A vaccine may also be referred to herein as an antigen.

5 Disclosure of Invention

Accordingly the present invention provides a controlled release formulation comprising biodegradable polymer microspheres wherein a drug, vaccine or hormone is suspended in a polymer matrix, said polymer matrix
10 being formed from at least two water soluble biodegradable polymers, and said microspheres being coated with a (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer.

In one embodiment the polymers are selected from the group consisting of starch, crosslinked starch,
15 ficoll, polysucrose, polyvinyl alcohol, gelatine, hydroxymethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-ethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-methyl cellulose, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, cellulose acetate, sodium alginate,
20 polymaleic anhydride esters, polyortho esters, polyethyleneimine, polyethylene glycol, methoxypolyethylene glycol, ethoxypolyethylene glycol, polyethylene oxide, poly(1,3 bis(p-carboxyphenoxy) propane-co-sebacic anhydride, N,N-diethylaminoacetate,
25 block copolymers of polyoxyethylene and polyoxypropylene.

An example of a suitable polyortho ester is 3,9-bis(methylene)-2,4,8,10,-tetra oxaspiro[5,5]undecane/1,6 hexanediol poly (ortho ester).

30 It is preferred that the weight ratio of the two polymers is in the range of from 20:80 to 80:20.

In another embodiment the polymer matrix is selected from starch and ficoll, starch and polysucrose, starch and polyvinyl alcohol, starch and gelatine,
35 starch and hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose and hydroxypropyl cellulose, gelatine and hydroxyethyl cellulose, gelatine and polyvinyl alcohol,

- 3 -

polysucrose and polyvinyl alcohol, and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose and sodium alginate.

When the polymer matrix comprises starch and ficoll, the preferred weight ratio of starch to ficoll is preferably from 85:15 to 60:40, and more preferably from 75:25 to 65:35.

When the polymer matrix comprises starch and polyvinyl alcohol, the preferred weight ratio of starch to polyvinyl alcohol is in the range of from 35:65 to 65:35, with a more preferred range of from 40:60 to 60:40. A microsphere having a ratio of starch to polyvinyl alcohol of about 50:50 is suitable for release of active ingredient over about a 10 day period. The starch has a tendency to degrade relatively quickly and the polyvinyl alcohol tends to give to the microsphere hardness and makes them more resistant to enzymatic degradation. In the case of vaccines, the polyvinyl alcohol also tends to give stability toward enzymatic and proteolytic degradation. Similar ratios are suitable for polysucrose and polyvinyl alcohol.

When the polymer matrix comprises one of the celluloses and ficoll, the preferred weight ratio of the cellulose to ficoll is in the range of from 80:20 to 65:35. The celluloses, e.g. hydroxymethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-ethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-methyl cellulose, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, cellulose acetate, tend to give soft and stable microspheres.

The selection of the particular (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer will depend in a large part on how long a period the microsphere is intended to release the active ingredient. For example, a (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer made from about 80% lactic acid and 20% glycolic acid is very stable and would provide an microsphere suitable for release of active ingredient over a period of weeks. A (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer made from 50% lactic acid and 50% glycolic

- 4 -

acid is stable and would provide a microsphere suitable for release of active ingredient over a period of days. A (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer made from 20% lactic acid and 80% glycolic acid disintegrates relatively easily and would provide a microsphere suitable for release of active ingredient over a period of 1-2 days. The coating makes the microspheres more resistant to enzymatic degradation.

In another embodiment, the active ingredient is selected from the group consisting of bovine somatotropine (sometimes referred to as BST), estrogens, androgens, insulin, insulin growth factors (sometimes referred to as IGF), interleukin-I, interleukin-II and cytokins. Three such cytokins are interferon- β , interferon- γ and tuftsin.

A preferred hormone, to increase the milk production in cows, is BST.

In another embodiment, the antigen is selected from the group consisting of MMR (mumps, measles and rubella) vaccine, typhoid vaccine, hepatitis A vaccine, hepatitis B vaccine, herpes simplex virus, bacterial toxoids, cholera toxin B-subunit, influenza vaccine virus, whooping cough vaccine virus, vaccinia virus, adenovirus, canary pox, polio vaccine virus, malaria vaccine virus, bacillus calmette geurin (BCG), klebsiella pneumoniae, HIV envelop glycoproteins and cytokins. Examples of bacterial toxoids are tetanus, diphtheria, pseudomonas A, mycobacterium tuberculosis. Examples of HIV envelop glycoproteins are gp 120 and gp 160 for AID vaccines.

The formulation is preferably in an oral form, although it may be in an injectable form.

The present invention also provides a process for making a controlled release formulation comprising microspheres of a drug, vaccine or hormone suspended in a biodegradable polymer matrix, said process comprising the steps of

- 5 -

- a) preparing an aqueous solution of at least two water soluble biodegradable polymers and adding ther to an active ingredient of a hormone, vaccine or drug,
- 5 b) mixing the solution and active ingredient with an emulsifying medium to form a homogenized microdroplet suspension,
- c) adding the homogenized microdroplet suspension slowly to a first organic solvent which contains a small concentration of a first surfactant, while stirring the
- 10 microdroplet suspension and solvent, thus causing microspheres to precipitate,
- d) separating the microspheres from the first solvent and adding a solution of a (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer in a second organic solvent which contains a
- 15 small concentration of a second surfactant, and
- e) slowly evaporating the solvent, leaving behind coated microspheres.

Step b) may be accomplished at room temperature or less but temperatures of -5°C to 10°C are preferred with

20 a temperature in the range of from 0°C to 5°C being even more preferred. These temperature ranges are more suitable for the easy formation of the suspension.

The first organic solvent may be the same or different to the second organic solvent. A preferred

25 first solvent is acetone and a preferred second solvent is an acetone and chloroform mixture.

Likewise the first surfactant may be the same or different to the second surfactant. Preferred

30 surfactants are polyoxyethylene derivatives of fatty acid partial esters of sorbitol anhydrides, some of which are sold under the Tween trade mark. Preferred concentrations of surfactant are from 2 to 3% v/v of the solvent. At higher concentrations, the final

microspheres tend to be irregular in shape.

35 In one embodiment the polymers are selected from the group consisting of starch, crosslinked starch, ficoll, polysucrose, polyvinyl alcohol, gelatine,

- 6 -

hydroxymethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose,
hydroxypropyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-ethyl cellulose,
hydroxypropyl-methyl cellulose, sodium carboxymethyl
cellulose, cellulose acetate, sodium alginate,
5 polymaleic anhydride esters, polyortho esters,
polyethyleneimine, polyethylene glycol,
methoxypolyethylene glycol, ethoxypolyethylene glycol,
polyethylene oxide, poly(1,3 bis(p-carboxyphenoxy))
propane-co-sebacic anhydride, N,N-diethylaminoacetate,
10 block copolymers of polyoxyethylene and
polyoxypropylene.

It is preferred that the weight ratio of the two
polymers is in the range of from 20:80 to 80:20, with a
more preferred range of from 40:60 to 60:40.

15 When the polymer matrix comprises starch and
ficoll, the preferred weight ratio of starch to ficoll
is preferably from 85:15 to 60:40, and more preferably
from 75:25 to 65:35.

When the polymer matrix comprises starch and
20 polyvinyl alcohol, the preferred weight ratio of starch
to polyvinyl alcohol is in the range of from 35:65 to
65:35, with a more preferred range of from 40:60 to
60:40. A microsphere having a ratio of starch to
polyvinyl alcohol of about 50:50 is suitable for release
25 of active ingredient over about a 10 day period.
Similar ratios are suitable for polysucrose and
polyvinyl alcohol.

When the polymer matrix comprises one of the
celluloses and ficoll, the preferred weight ratio of the
30 cellulose to ficoll is in the range of from 80:20 to
65:35. As indicated before, the celluloses, e.g.
hydroxymethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose,
hydroxypropyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-ethyl cellulose,
hydroxypropyl-methyl cellulose, sodium carboxymethyl
35 cellulose, cellulose acetate, tend to give soft and
stable microspheres.

In another embodiment the polymer matrix is

- 7 -

selected from starch and ficoll, starch and polysucrose, starch and polyvinyl alcohol, starch and gelatine, starch and hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose and hydroxypropyl cellulose, gelatine and hydroxyethyl cellulose, gelatine and polyvinyl alcohol, polysucrose and polyvinyl alcohol, and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose and sodium alginate.

In another embodiment, the active ingredient is selected from bovine somatotropine (sometimes referred to as BST), estrogens, androgens, insulin, insulin growth factors (sometimes referred to as IGF), interleukin-I, interleukin-II and cytokins. Three such cytokins are interferon- β , interferon- γ and tuftsin.

A preferred hormone, to increase the milk production in cows, is BST.

In another embodiment, the antigen is selected from MMR (mumps, measles and rubella) vaccine, typhoid vaccine, hepatitis A vaccine, hepatitis B vaccine, herpes simplex virus, bacterial toxoids, cholera toxin B-subunit, influenza vaccine virus, whooping cough vaccine virus, vaccinia virus, adenovirus, canary pox, polio vaccine virus, malaria vaccine virus, bacillus calmette geurin (BCG), klebsiella pneumoniae, HIV envelop glycoproteins and cytokins. Examples of bacterial toxoids are tetanus, diphtheria, pseudomonas A, mycobacterium tuberculosis. Examples of HIV envelop glycoproteins are gp 120 and gp 160 for AID vaccines.

In the first step of the process, the polymers which are intended to be used for the matrix are added to water, to form an aqueous solution, and the drug, vaccine or hormone is added to the solution. This mixture is stirred and then mixed with an emulsifying medium, for example corn oil, rapeseed oil, vegetable oil. It is preferred that the amount of emulsifying medium exceed the amount of the polymer solution. For example, there may be as much as four times the amount of emulsifying medium as there is polymer solution. As

- 8 -

indicated above, it is preferable that the mixture and emulsifying medium are held at a temperature lower than room temperature, and preferably between -5°C and 10°C, especially from about 0°C to about 5°C. The polymer, water, emulsifying medium and drug, vaccine or hormone are stirred or otherwise mixed until a coarse pre-emulsion is formed. The pre-emulsion is further homogenized to form a microdroplet suspension, for example by high speed mixing. In the laboratory, such high speed mixing can be accomplished by sonication. In commercial manufacture, a high speed blender or turbine mixer would be preferred. In the third step, the microdroplet suspension preferably is poured slowly into a large amount of organic solvent such as acetone, containing a small concentration of surfactant, e.g. about 2% v/v Tween® 80 surfactant, while stirring at high speed. Tween surfactants are available from Atlas Chemical Industries in the U.S.A. Microspheres of the drug, vaccine or hormone in the polymer matrix precipitate. The purpose of the surfactant is to prevent agglomeration of the polymer matrix, with consequent loss of microsphere-sized particles.

The particle size of the precipitate is in the order of 100 nm to 100 µm, more typically in the 10 nm to 10 µm range.

In the fourth step, the microspheres are further coated with a (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer by transferring the microspheres into a solution of the copolymer in a second organic solvent which contains a second surfactant. For example, the microspheres may be added to a 2% solution of (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer in a 1:1 acetone/chloroform mixture which contains 1% v/v Tween® 80.

In the last step the second solvent and any remaining first solvent is removed by evaporation, so that a coating of (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer is left on the surface of the microspheres. A mild vacuum

- 9 -

may be used to assist in the evaporation process.

The microspheres may then be made up in oral form or injectable form. The oral form is preferred. Clearly, the amounts and type of drug or hormone will
5 depend on the treatment or immunization required and the human being or animal being treated.

For hormones and drugs, an advantage of the microencapsulation is that it increases the in-vivo half
10 lives of many peptidic and proteinic drugs or hormones, by providing protection against proteolytic or enzymatic degradation. Another advantage is that the therapeutic efficacies may be increased as a result of releasing the active ingredient in a controlled fashion over a
15 prolonged period of time. A further advantage is prevention of degradation of the active ingredients when, in oral form, they pass through the gastrointestinal tract.

For vaccines, an advantage of the microencapsulation is that it increases the potency of
20 weak antigens such as the small synthetic or recombinant peptides of HIV. Another advantage is that it enhances the speed, rigor and persistence of the immune response. A further advantage is that it modulates antibody avidity, specificity, quantity, isotype and subclass.
25 Furthermore it decreases the amount of antigen needed to protect, thereby decreasing the cost and increasing the bioavailability of the vaccines. Additionally, the microspherical form of the vaccine is more efficacious than an aqueous vaccine.

30 Microsphere formulations of the present invention which include BST may be used to increase milk production in cattle. Usually the formulation will be mixed with cattle feed and therefore will be taken orally. Such compositions may give high blood levels of
35 BST over a long period of time, for example about 30 days, as a result of sustained release of natural or recombinant BST.

- 10 -

The present invention may be used to entrap other growth hormones in a polymer matrix, e.g. strogens, androgens, insulin, IGF, interleukin-I and interleukin-II. Cytokins such as interferon- β and interferon- γ ,
5 used in the treatment of diseases such as osteoporosis, diabetes mellitus and multiple sclerosis may also benefit from the present invention.

It will be understood by those skilled in the art that all of the ingredients must be suitable for
10 ingestion by the animal, e.g. human. This means of course that toxic ingredients falling within the literal scope of the named chemical families mentioned herein would not be chosen.

The invention is illustrated by the following non-limiting examples.
15

Example I

0.1 g starch was dissolved in 1 ml of dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) and to this solution was added 0.5 g ethylhydroxycellulose and 0.1 g myoglobin protein
20 dissolved in 1 ml water. The solution was stirred to make a homogenous solution. To this solution was added 10 ml vegetable oil at 0°C and the resulting mixture was sonicated to form a microdroplet suspension. The microdroplet suspension was added slowly to 200 ml
25 acetone containing 2% v/v Tween® 80 surfactant. Microspheres were precipitated from the acetone. The acetone was decanted off and the microspheres were dried.

A 0.1 g sample of the microspheres was taken and the microspheres suspended in 3 ml of distilled water.
30 This suspension was transferred to a UV cuvette. The absorbance of the myoglobin protein at 280 nm wavelength was observed over a period of 120 days and the percent of release of the myoglobin calculated. The results are
35 shown in Table I.

- 11 -

Table I

	<u>Time (Days)</u>	<u>% Myoglobin Released</u>
	5	11
	10	23
5	30	32
	60	45
	90	59
	120	86

10 This shows the slow release of the myoglobin over a long period of time.

Example II

15 The experiment of Example I was repeated except the myoglobin protein was replaced with fluorescenated human serum albumine (FHSA). Although not normally used in animals, FHSA was used in this experiment to show the release characteristics. Animal drugs and hormones are expected to act in a similar manner. The absorbance of FHSA was monitored at 390 nm wavelength over a period of 90 days and the percent of FHSA released was calculated.

20 The results are shown in Table II

Table II

	<u>Time (Days)</u>	<u>% FHSA Released</u>
	1	9
	2	11
25	3	20
	7	23
	11	31
	30	38
	60	54
30	90	92

This shows the slow and almost complete release of the FHSA.

Example III

35 0.5 g starch was dissolved in 1 ml of dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) and to this solution was added 0.5 g ethylhydroxycellulose and 0.1 g fluorescenated human serum albumine (FHSA) dissolved in 1 ml water. The solution was stirred to make a homogenous solution. To this solution was added 10 ml vegetable oil at 0°C

40 and the resulting mixture was sonicated to form a microdroplet suspension. The microdroplet suspension

- 12 -

was added slowly to a 200 ml acetone containing 2% v/v Tween® 80 surfactant. Microspheres were precipitated from the acetone. The acetone was decanted off and the microspheres were dried.

5 A 0.1 g sample of the microspheres was taken and the microspheres suspended in 3 ml of distilled water. This suspension was transferred to a UV cuvette. The absorbance of the FHSA at 390 nm wavelength was observed over a period of 90 days. The absorbance is indicative of the amount of FHSA released. The results are shown in Table III.

Table III

	<u>Time (Days)</u>	<u>Absorbance</u>
	1	0.18
15	2	0.21
	3	0.40
	7	0.45
	11	0.61
	30	0.77
20	60	1.10
	90	1.85

Table III shows the slow release of the FHSA.

Example IV

25 The experiment of Example III was repeated except the fluoresceinated human serum albumine (FHSA) was replaced with myoglobin protein. The absorbance of myoglobin protein was monitored at 280 nm wavelength over a period of 120 days. The results are shown in Table IV

Table IV

	<u>Time (Days)</u>	<u>% Myoglobin Released</u>
	5	11
	10	24
	30	32
	60	45
35	90	59
	120	86

This shows the slow and steady release of the myoglobin protein.

Example V

40 Human serum albumine (HSA) microspheres were made as in Example III except that the HSA was not

- 13 -

fluorescent d. 50 mg of the microspheres were gavaged orally to Balb-C mice. The mice were bled at regular intervals and the blood samples centrifuged to isolate the plasma. The plasma was analyzed using ELISA techniques to estimate antibody titre (IgG) values. The results are shown in Table V.

Table V

	Time (Days)	Antibody titre (IgG) (Thousands)
10	30	4
	65	24
	93	96

Example VI

Human serum albumine (HSA) microspheres were made as in Example III except that the HSA was not fluorescent and the hydroxyethyl cellulose was replaced by polyvinyl alcohol. 50 mg of the microspheres were gavaged orally to Balb-C mice. The mice were bled at regular intervals and the blood samples centrifuged to isolate the plasma. The plasma was analyzed using ELISA techniques to estimate antibody titre (IgG) values. The results are shown in Table IV.

Table VI

	Time (Days)	Antibody titre (IgG) (Thousands)
25	30	6
	65	13
	93	98

Example VII

0.1 g of starch was dissolved in 0.2 ml dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO) and to this solution was added 0.5 g ethylhydroxycellulose and 0.051 g bromophenol blue dye dissolved in 1 ml water. The solution was stirred to make a homogenous solution. To this solution was added 10 ml vegetable oil at 0°C and the resulting mixture was sonicated to form a microdroplet suspension. The microdroplet suspension was added slowly to 200 ml acetone containing 2% v/v Tween® 80 surfactant. Microspheres were precipitated from the acetone. The

- 14 -

acetone was decanted off and the microspheres were dried.

The microspheres were further coated with a poly (lactide-co-glycolide) copolymer (75:25 lactide :glycolide ratio), having a molecular weight of about 80 000. The coating was applied by transferring the microspheres into a 2% solution of the poly (lactide-co-glycolide) copolymer in a 1:1 acetone/chloroform mixture which contained 1% v/v Tween® 80. The acetone and chloroform was removed by evaporation, so that a coating of (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer was left on the surface of the microspheres. A mild vacuum was used to assist in the evaporation process.

0.1 g of the coated microspheres was suspended in 3 ml water and evaluated for release characteristics, as shown in Table VII, overleaf.

Table VII

In vitro release of bromphenol dye:

	Time (days)	% Release
20	1	15.8
	2	20.1
	5	25.0
	10	35.0
	30	38.0
25	40	47.5
	60	50.0

This experiment shows that the coating prevented premature degradation of the microspheres in an in vivo condition. Thus it illustrates that thus-coated microspheres will provide sustained release of peptides/proteins.

- 15 -

CLAIMS:

1. A controlled release formulation comprising biodegradable polymer microspheres wherein a drug, vaccine or hormone is suspended in a polymer matrix,
5 said polymer matrix being formed from at least two water soluble biodegradable polymers, and said microspheres being coated with a (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer..
2. A formulation according to Claim 1 wherein the polymers are selected from the group consisting of
10 starch, crosslinked starch, ficoll, polysucrose, polyvinyl alcohol, gelatine, hydroxymethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-ethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-methyl cellulose, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, cellulose
15 acetate, sodium alginate, polymaleic anhydride esters, polyortho esters, polyethyleneimine, polyethylene glycol, methoxypolyethylene glycol, ethoxypolyethylene glycol, polyethylene oxide, poly(1,3 bis(p-carboxyphenoxy) propane-co-sebacic anhydride, N,N-diethylaminoacetate, block copolymers of polyoxyethylene
20 and polyoxypropylene.
3. A formulation according to Claim 1 wherein the weight ratio of the two polymers is in the range of from 20:80 to 80:20.
- 25 4. A formulation according to Claim 3 wherein the polymer matrix is selected from starch and ficoll, starch and polysucrose, starch and polyvinyl alcohol, starch and gelatine, starch and hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose and hydroxypropyl cellulose,
30 gelatine and hydroxyethyl cellulose, gelatine and polyvinyl alcohol, polysucrose and polyvinyl alcohol, and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose and sodium alginate.
5. A formulation according to Claim 4 wherein the polymer matrix comprises starch and ficoll and the
35 weight ratio of starch to ficoll is from 85:15 to 60:40.
6. A formulation according to Claim 5 wherein the weight ratio is from 75:25 to 65:35.

- 16 -

7. A formulation according to Claim 4 wherein the polymer matrix comprises starch and polyvinyl alcohol and the weight ratio of starch to polyvinyl alcohol is in the range of from 35:65 to 65:35.
- 5 8. A formulation according to Claim 7 wherein the weight ratio is from 40:60 to 60:40.
9. A formulation according to Claim 4 wherein the polymer matrix comprises polysucrose and polyvinyl alcohol and the weight ratio of polysucrose to polyvinyl alcohol is from 35:65 to 65:35.
- 10 10. A formulation according to Claim 4 wherein the polymer matrix comprises ficoll and a cellulose selected from the group consisting of hydroxymethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-ethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-methyl cellulose, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose and cellulose acetate and the weight ratio of the cellulose to ficoll is in the range of from 80:20 to 65:35.
- 15 11. A formulation according to Claim 1 or 2 wherein the active ingredient is selected from the group consisting of bovine somatotropine, estrogens, androgens, insulin, insulin growth factors, interleukin-I, interleukin-II and cytokins.
- 20 12. A formulation according to Claim 1 or 2 wherein the active ingredient is selected from the group consisting of MMR (mumps, measles and rubella) vaccine, typhoid vaccine, hepatitis A vaccine, hepatitis B vaccine, herpes simplex virus, bacterial toxoids, cholera toxin B-subunit, influenza vaccine virus, whooping cough vaccine virus, vaccinia virus, adenovirus, canary pox, polio vaccine virus, malaria vaccine virus, bacillus calmette geurin, klebsiella pneumoniae, and HIV envelop glycoproteins.
- 30 13. A process for making a controlled release formulation comprising microspheres of a drug, vaccine or hormone suspended in a biodegradable polymer matrix polymer, said process comprising the steps of
- 35

- 17 -

- a) preparing an aqueous solution of at least two water soluble biodegradable polymers and adding thereto an active ingredient of a hormone, vaccine or drug,
b) mixing the solution and active ingredient with an emulsifying medium to form a homogenized microdroplet suspension,
c) adding the homogenized microdroplet suspension slowly to a first organic solvent which contains a small concentration of a first surfactant, while stirring the microdroplet suspension and solvent, thus causing microspheres to precipitate,
d) separating the microspheres from the first solvent and adding a solution of a (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer in a second organic solvent which contains a small concentration of a second surfactant, and
e) slowly evaporating the solvent, leaving behind coated microspheres.
14. A process according to Claim 13 wherein the polymers used in step a) are selected from the group consisting of starch, crosslinked starch, ficoll, polysucrose, polyvinyl alcohol, gelatine, hydroxymethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-ethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-methyl cellulose, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, cellulose acetate, sodium alginate, polymaleic anhydride esters, polyortho esters, polyethyleneimine, polyethylene glycol, methoxypolyethylene glycol, ethoxypolyethylene glycol, polyethylene oxide, poly(1,3 bis(p-carboxyphenoxy) propane-co-sebacic anhydride, N,N-diethylaminoacetate, block copolymers of polyoxyethylene and polyoxypropylene.
15. A process according to Claim 13 wherein the weight ratio of the two polymers used in step a) is in the range of from 20:80 to 80:20.
16. A process according to Claim 15 wherein the polymer matrix is selected from starch and ficoll, starch and polysucrose, starch and polyvinyl alcohol, starch and

- 18 -

gelatine, starch and hydroxyethyl cellulose,
hydroxyethyl cellulose and hydroxypropyl cellulose,
gelatine and hydroxyethyl cellulose, gelatine and
polyvinyl alcohol, polysucrose and polyvinyl alcohol,
5 and sodium carboxymethyl cellulose and sodium alginate.

17. A process according to Claim 16 wherein the polymer
matrix comprises starch and ficoll and the weight ratio
of starch to ficoll is from 85:15 to 60:40.

10 18. A process according to Claim 17 wherein the weight
ratio is from 75:25 to 65:35.

19. A process according to Claim 16 wherein the polymer
matrix comprises starch and polyvinyl alcohol and the
weight ratio of starch to polyvinyl alcohol is in the
range of from 35:65 to 65:35.

15 20. A process according to Claim 19 wherein the weight
ratio is from 40:60 to 60:40.

21. A process according to Claim 16 wherein the polymer
matrix comprises polysucrose and polyvinyl alcohol and
the weight ratios of polysucrose to polyvinyl alcohol is
20 from 35:65 to 65:35.

22. A process according to Claim 16 wherein the polymer
matrix comprises ficoll and a cellulose selected from
the group consisting of hydroxymethyl cellulose,
hydroxyethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose,
25 hydroxypropyl-ethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-methyl
cellulose, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose and cellulose
acetate and the weight ratio of the cellulose to ficoll
is in the range of from 80:20 to 65:35.

23. A process according to Claim 13 or 14 wherein the
30 active ingredient is selected from bovine somatotropine,
estrogens, androgens, insulin, insulin growth factors,
interleukin-I, interleukin-II and cytokins.

24. A process according to Claim 13 or 14 wherein the
active ingredient is selected from MMR (mumps, measles
35 and rubella) vaccine, typhoid vaccine, hepatitis A
vaccine, hepatitis B vaccine, herpes simplex virus,
bacterial toxoids, cholera toxin B-subunit, influenza

- 19 -

vaccine virus, whooping cough vaccine virus, vaccinia virus, adenovirus, canary pox, polio vaccine virus, malaria vaccine virus, bacillus calmette geurin, klebsiella pneumoniae, and HIV envelop glycoproteins.

5 25. A method for the treatment of animals or humans wherein a controlled release formulation is administered to the animal or human being, wherein the formulation comprises biodegradable polymer microspheres wherein a drug, vaccine or hormone, which is suitable for
10 administration to animals, is suspended in a polymer matrix, said polymer matrix being formed from at least two water soluble biodegradable polymers, and said microspheres being coated with a (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer.

15 26. A method according to Claim 25 wherein an increase in milk production of a cow is assisted by the controlled release of bovine somatotropine, said bovine somatotropine being administered in the form of biodegradable polymer microspheres, said bovine
20 somatotropine being suspended in an a polymer matrix formed from at least two water soluble biodegradable polymers selected from the group consisting of starch, crosslinked starch, ficoll, polysucrose, polyvinyl alcohol, gelatine, hydroxymethyl cellulose, hydroxyethyl
25 cellulose, hydroxypropyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-ethyl cellulose, hydroxypropyl-methyl cellulose, sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, cellulose acetate, sodium alginate, polymaleic anhydride esters, polyortho esters, polyethyleneimine, polyethylene glycol,
30 methoxypolyethylene glycol, ethoxypolyethylene glycol, polyethylene oxide, poly(1,3 bis(p-carboxyphenoxy) propane-co-sebacic anhydride, N,N-diethylaminoacetate, block copolymers of polyoxyethylene and polyoxypropylene, and said microspheres being coated
35 with a (d,l lactide-glycolide) copolymer.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Intern. Application No

PCT/CA 95/00074

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 A61K9/16 A61K9/50

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	WO,A,88 07870 (SCHRÖDER ET AL) 20 October 1988 see the whole document ---	1
A	US,A,4 568 559 (NUWAYSER ET AL) 4 February 1986 ---	
A	US,A,4 479 911 (FONG) 30 October 1984 -----	

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "B" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

15 May 1995

Date of mailing of the international search report

24 / 0 5 / 95

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Klaver, T

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/CA 95/00074

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO-A-8807870	20-10-88	SE-A- 8701479	10-10-88
US-A-4568559	04-02-86	US-A- 4623588	18-11-86
US-A-4479911	30-10-84	NONE	